PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

6

Remarks

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Final Office Action mailed June 14, 2004. Although Applicants believe all pending claims are allowable without amendment, to expedite issuance of a patent from this Application, Applicants have made clarifying amendments to independent Claims 1 and 9. These amendments are not narrowing and are not considered necessary for patentability. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims.

Claims 1-16 are Definite under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Paragraph 2

The Examiner rejects Claim 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 paragraph 2 as being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner asserts that the limitation, "the identified customer service," recited in independent Claims 1 and 9 lacks antecedent basis. Although Applicants believe Claims 1 and 9 are definite under 35 U.S.C. § 112 paragraph 2 without amendment, Applicants have amended Claims 1 and 9 to further clarify that the previously recited "identified customer service" is "the customer service . . . identified as a result of the searching."

Claims 1-16 are Allowable over Rangan

The Examiner rejects Claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,594,766 B2 to Rangan et al. ("Rangan"). Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner. To maintain the rejection of Claims 1-16, the Examiner now asserts that:

- A the personalized portal page in Rangan can be properly considered a portal-page service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9;
- <u>B</u> the interface of the personalized portal page in *Rangan* can be properly considered *an* interface bundle, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9;
- <u>C</u> the method for storing personal web pages in *Rangan* can be properly considered *a* customer service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9:

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

7

- <u>D</u> the search function in Rangan can be properly considered searching, by the portalpage service installed at the gateway, for the customer service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9;
- E the interface of the Internet portal in Rangan can be properly considered a user interface, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9; and
- <u>F</u> the Internet portal in *Rangan* can be properly considered *a portal-page*, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

These current assertions are discussed and refuted below, keyed by letter for the Examiner's convenience.

A The personalized portal page in Rangan cannot be properly considered a portal-page service as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9 unless Rangan at least discloses every one of the following limitations:

- installing the personalized portal page at a gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9;
- searching, by the personalized portal page, for the customer service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9;
- generating, by the personalized portal page, a user interface for the customer service
 installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the customer
 service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in
 independent Claims 1 and 9; and
- generating, by the personalized portal page, a portal-page for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the user interface generated for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest any of the above limitations recited in independent Claims 1 and 9, much less every one of the above limitations.

First, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest installing the personalized portal page at a gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Instead, the Internet portal in Rangan merely presents the personalized portal page to a user from a server at an Internet Service Provide (ISP) separate from any gateway routers. (Column 4, Lines 37-41).

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870.084

8

Second, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest searching, by the personalized portal page, for the customer service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Instead, Rangan merely discloses that the personalized portal page allows a user run a keyword search on web pages listed in the personalized portal page. Nowhere does Rangan disclose, teach, or even suggest that web pages listed in the personalized portal page can themselves be properly considered the customer service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

Third, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the personalized portal page, a user interface for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Instead, the personalized portal page in Rangan merely links a user to a web page in response to the user selecting a link in the personalized portal page to the web page. Nowhere does Rangan disclose, teach, or even suggest that the personalized portal page generates any subsequent interface, much less a user interface for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

Fourth, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the personalized portal page in Rangan, a portal-page for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the user interface generated for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Instead, as discussed above, the personalized portal page in Rangan merely links a user to a web page in response to the user selecting a link in the personalized portal page to the web page. Nowhere does Rangan disclose, teach, or even suggest that the web page to which the personalized portal page links the user can be properly considered a portal-page for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Even assuming for the sake of argument that the web page to which the personalized portal page links the user could be properly considered a portal-page

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

9

for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, Rangan would still fail to disclose, teach, or suggest generating the web page by the personalized portal page, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

For at least these reasons, the personalized portal page in *Rangan* cannot be properly considered *a portal-page service* as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

<u>B</u> The interface of the personalized portal page in Rangan cannot be properly considered an interface bundle as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9 unless Rangan at least discloses every one of the following limitations:

- installing the interface of the personalized portal page at a gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9; and
- installing a customer service at the gateway based on the interface of the personalized portal page, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest any of the above limitations recited in independent Claims 1 and 9, much less every one of the above limitations.

First, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest installing the interface of the personalized portal page at a gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Instead, as discussed above, the Internet portal in Rangan merely presents the personalized portal page to a user from a server at an ISP separate from any gateway routers.

Second Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest installing a customer service at the gateway based on the interface of the personalized portal page, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Even assuming for the sake of argument that the interface of the personalized portal page in Rangan provided access to a service, Rangan would still fail to disclose, teach, or suggest the accessible service being at all based on the interface of the personalized portal page, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Moreover, assuming again for the sake of argument that the interface of the personalized portal page in Rangan provided access to a service, Rangan would still fail to disclose, teach, or suggest installing

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

10

the accessible service at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, the Internet portal in Rangan merely presents the personalized portal page to a user from a server at an ISP separate from any gateway routers.

For at least these reasons, the interface of the Internet portal in Rangan cannot be properly considered an interface bundle, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

<u>C</u> The method for storing personal web pages in *Rangan* cannot be properly considered a customer service as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9 unless *Rangan* at least discloses every one of the following limitations:

- installing the method for storing personal web pages at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9
- the method for storing personal web pages being based on the interface bundle, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9;
- searching, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, for the method for storing personal web pages installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9;
- generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, a user interface for the method for storing personal web pages installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9, and
- e generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, a portal-page for the method for storing personal web pages installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the user interface generated for the method for storing personal web pages installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest any of the above limitations recited in independent Claims 1 and 9, much less every one of the above limitations.

First, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest installing the method for storing personal web pages at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. The method for storing personal web pages in Rangan merely includes portal software at a server

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

11

providing a user a personalized portal page that contains links to listed web pages. As discussed above, the server resides at an ISP separate from any gateway routers.

Second, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest the method for storing personal web pages being based on the interface bundle, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest an interface bundle, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest the method for storing personal web pages being based on the interface bundle, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

Third, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest searching, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, for the method for storing personal web pages installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, Rangan merely discloses the personalized portal page allowing a user to run a keyword search on web pages listed in the personalized portal page. Nowhere does Rangan disclose, teach, or even suggest that the web pages listed in the personalized portal page can be properly considered the method for storing personal web pages. The web pages themselves could not possibly be the method for storing the web pages. Moreover, even assuming for the sake of argument that the web pages listed in the personalized portal page could be properly considered the method for storing personal web pages, Rangan would still fail to disclose, teach, or suggest searching, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, for the web pages listed in the personalized portal page, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest searching, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, for the web pages listed in the personalized portal page.

Fourth, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, a user interface for the method for storing personal web pages installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

12

a portal-page service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, a user interface for the method for storing personal web pages, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Moreover, nowhere does Rangan disclose, teach, or suggest that the method for storing personal web pages is installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, the method for storing personal web pages in Rangan merely includes portal software at a server providing a user a personalized portal page that contains links to listed web pages. The server in Rangan resides at an ISP separate from any gateway routers. Furthermore, as discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest that the method for storing personal web pages in Rangan is identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

Fifth, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, a portal-page for the method for storing personal web pages installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the user interface generated for the method for storing personal web pages installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a portal-page service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, a portal-page for the method for storing personal web pages, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating a portal-page for the method for storing personal web pages based on the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Moreover, as discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest that the method for storing personal web pages is installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Furthermore, as discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest that the method for storing personal web pages in Rangan is identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

13

For at least these reasons, the method for storing personal web pages in Rangan cannot be properly considered a customer service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

D The search function in Rangan cannot be properly considered searching, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, for the customer service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, the search function in Rangan merely allows a user to run a keyword search on web pages listed in the personalized portal page. Nowhere does Rangan disclose, teach, or even suggest that the web pages listed in the personalized portal page can themselves be properly considered a customer service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Moreover, nowhere does Rangan disclose, teach, or suggest that the web pages listed in the personalized portal page are installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Instead, internet servers separate from any gateway routers host the web pages listed in the personalized portal page in Rangan. (Column 4, Lines 37-41 and 58-61; Column 5, Lines 41-45). For at least these reasons, the search function in Rangan cannot be properly considered searching, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, for the customer service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

E The interface of the Internet portal in Rangan cannot be properly considered a user interface for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9 unless Rangan at least discloses every one of the following limitations:

- generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, the interface of the Internet portal for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9; and
- generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, a portal-page for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the interface of the Internet portal generated for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

14

Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest any of the above limitations recited in independent Claims 1 and 9, much less every one of the above limitations.

First, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, the interface of the Internet portal for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a portal-page service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, the interface of the Internet portal. Moreover, as further discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a customer service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating the interface of the Internet portal for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

Second, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, a portal-page for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the interface of the Internet portal generated for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a portal-page service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway a portal-page for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the interface of the Internet portal generated for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway. Moreover, as further discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a customer service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating a portal-page for the

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

15

customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the interface of the Internet portal generated for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

For at least these reasons, the interface of the Internet portal in Rangan cannot be properly considered a user interface for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

The Internet portal in Rangan cannot be properly considered a portal-page for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the user interface generated for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. As discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a portal-page service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating, by the portal-page service installed at the gateway, the Internet portal, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating the Internet portal based on the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Moreover, as further discussed above, Rangan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a customer service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Therefore, Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating the Internet portal for the customer service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. Rangan also necessarily fails to disclose, teach, or suggest generating the Internet portal based on the user interface generated for the customer service, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9. For at least these reasons, The Internet portal in Rangan cannot be properly considered a portal-page for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the searching based on the user interface generated for the customer service installed at the gateway identified as a result of the

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

16

searching and the portal-page service installed at the gateway, as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987); M.P.E.P. ch. 2131 (Rev. 1, Feb. 2003) (quoting Verdegaal Bros., 2 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1053). Moreover, "the identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained . . . in the claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 9 U.S.P.Q.2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989); M.P.E.P. ch. 2131 (Rev. 1, Feb. 2003) (quoting Richardson, 9 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1920). Furthermore, "[t]he elements must be arranged as required by the claim." In re Bond, 15 U.S.P.Q.2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990); M.P.E.P. ch. 2131 (Rev. 1, Feb. 2003) (quoting In Re Bond, 15 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1566). As shown above, Rangan fails to disclose, either expressly or inherently, each and every limitation recited in independent Claims 1 and 9, as required under the M.P.E.P. and governing Federal Circuit cases.

For at least these reasons, independent Claims 1 and 9 are patentably distinct from Rangan. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of independent Claims 1 and 9 and all their dependent claims, which are allowable at least by virtue of their dependencies from allowable independent claims.

PATENT APPLICATION NO. 09/870,084

17

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would advance prosecution of this Application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney for Applicants at (972) 718-4800.

Applicants believe no fee is due. To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee and credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 07-2347 of Verizon.

Respectfully submitted,

VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES

GROUP-INC.

Attorneys for Applicants.

Joel Wall

Reg. No. 25,648

Verizon Corporate Services Group Inc. 600 Hidden Ridge Drive Mail Code: HQE03H14 Irving, Texas 75038 CUSTOMER NO. 32127

Date: August 16, 2004